

Pavement Asset Management Guidance Section 0: Introduction Version 1.0 December 2014





Document Information

| Title | Pavement Asset Management Guidance, Section 0: Introduction | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| Author | Paul Hardy, exp consulting | | | |
| Description | This section introduces the Pavement Asset Management Guidance document | | | |
| | produced by the Irish Pavement Asset Group. | | | |

Document History

| Version | Status | Author | Checked | Changes from Previous Version |
|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 1.0 | Published | PH | CM / MMcN | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Chair



Committee Membership

| John McDaid | Dublin City Council |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| John McLaughlin | Donegal County Council |

Group Members

| Peter Britton | Tipperary County Council |
|-------------------|--|
| Peter Burke | Local Government Management Agency |
| Liam Fitzgerald | Carlow County Council |
| Paul Fox | Local Government Management Agency |
| David Joyce | Cork City Council |
| Catherine Kelly | Dublin City Council |
| John McCarthy | Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport |
| Madeline McNamara | Dublin City Council |
| Gráinne Morgan | Cork City Council |
| Dominic Mullaney | Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport |
| John Mullholland | Kilkenny County Council |
| Gráinne O'Brien | Dublin City Council |
| Dan O'Sullivan | Cork City Council |

The Group wishes to pay tribute to former group member, the late Peter Britton (RIP), Tipperary County Council, for his outstanding contribution to this project and his influence on the workings of this steering group. The impact and loss resulting from his tragic death is profound to the project and his colleagues.

Contributors

| Uinsinn Finn | Galway County Council |
|---------------------|---|
| Noel O'Driscoll | Wexford County Council |
| Stephen Flynn | Donegal County Council |
| | |
| Project Consultants | exp consulting – Paul Hardy, Glen Kirk, Roger Adams |
| | |
| Proofreading | Colm Moore |



Irish Pavement Asset Group

A committee was set up under the aegis of Local Government Management Agency (LGMA) to develop a pavement management approach for all the Irish local authorities. The Irish Pavement Asset Group (IPAG) is charged with establishing the engineering requirements and standards for pavement management in Ireland. IPAG has members representing the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport, the LGMA and local authorities.

Pavement Management in Ireland

Road authorities have practiced elements of pavement asset management for many years. In the main, however, these practices have been applied in a pragmatic way and have relied upon the judgement of experienced individuals. Systems of planning and recording works currently vary considerably from reasonably well structured to informal. Part of IPAG's remit is the development of a structured approach to pavement management in Ireland. In supporting all road authorities across Ireland, IPAG needs to take into account the short- and medium-term needs and resources of the organisations that manage pavements. Hence, it is of high importance that flexibility is built into the system. In simplistic terms, the needs of a road authority in Ireland range from strategic decision making for a large and complex network to the operational level of minor repair work.

Pavement Asset Management Guidance

This document is part of the output from an IPAG project to develop a guidance document covering the engineering requirements of a Pavement Management System. The intent is that this guidance will be sufficiently comprehensive to allow all road authorities to use it as their reference framework, when implementing a pavement management system. The phrase 'engineering requirements' means the engineering and business processes on which road authorities can base their pavement management systems.

Guidance produced by IPAG is intended to be applicable to both urban and rural authorities, recognising the differing characteristics and needs of each. Urban areas have networks that are heavily trafficked, often subject to congestion and with roads that have multiple lanes. Considerations of network availability and the need to apply working restrictions are key issues for these authorities. Rural areas cover a large portion of the country's roads and are lightly populated. Local authorities have resource levels commensurate with their size and financial means.



Pavement Asset Management Guidance Documents

As of December 2014, the IPAG Pavement Asset Management Guidance documentation comprises:

| Section | Title | Description | Version & Date |
|---------|---------------|---|----------------|
| 0 | Introduction | This section introduces the Pavement Asset | 1.0 |
| | | Management Guidance document produced by | December 2014 |
| | | the Irish Pavement Asset Group. | |
| 1 | Road Asset | This section provides guidance on how to structure | 1.0 |
| | Register | an asset register for road infrastructure. | December 2014 |
| 2 | Network | This section provides a recommended method of | 1.0 |
| | Referencing | network referencing for use in Ireland. It sets out | December 2014 |
| | | how a network of roads should be referenced, to | |
| | | enable data to be stored against the network. | |
| 3 | Inventory and | This section recommends the inventory data that | 1.0 |
| | Data | road authorities should hold. It provides guidance | December 2014 |
| | Management | on data collection methods and states how the | |
| | | data should be updated and validated. | |
| 4 | Routine | This section recommends the use of a systems- | 1.0 |
| | Maintenance | based approach to the management of routine | December 2014 |
| | Management | maintenance. It provides guidance on the | |
| | | identification, categorisation, prioritisation and | |
| | | reporting for repair of routine / minor pavement | |
| | | defects. It recommends the records that should be | |
| | | kept of each of these activities to support | |
| | | pavement asset management. | |
| 5 | Condition | This section describes the methods to be used for | 1.0 |
| | Surveying and | condition surveying and rating. It records the | December 2014 |
| | Rating | regime of condition surveys that are required. It | |
| | | comprises: | |
| | | - 5.0: Condition Surveying and Rating – Overview | |
| | | - 5.1: [Future] | |
| | | - 5.2: Condition Surveying and Rating – Footway | |
| | | - 5.3: Condition Surveying and Rating – Drainage | |



| Section | Title | Description | Version & Date |
|---------------------|----------------|--|----------------|
| 6 Pavement Asset | | This section recommends how pavement asset | 1.0 |
| | | management strategies should be developed. It | December 2014 |
| | Management | provides guidance on how asset data should be | |
| | Strategies | used to support identification of potential sites for | |
| | | treatment, analysis of the options for treatment and | |
| | | the creation of a short-term programme of schemes | |
| | | and long-term projections of funding needs. | |
| 7 | Reinstatements | This section recommends that records should be | 1.0 |
| | | kept of road reinstatements. It refers specifically to | December 2014 |
| | | those records required to assist with pavement | |
| | | management. It includes reference to other systems | |
| | | used to store data for the purposes of roadworks | |
| | | control. | |
| 8 | New Roads | This section recommends methods that should be | 1.0 |
| | and Taking in | adopted to ensure that roads constructed by | December 2014 |
| | Charge | contractors (on behalf of the road authority) or | |
| | | developers meet appropriate standards for 'taking | |
| | | in charge'. It provides guidance on what | |
| | | inspections should take place, what checks should | |
| | | be made to confirm that materials & construction | |
| | | depths meet the relevant specifications and what | |
| | | records & data that contractors / developers should | |
| | | be required to hand-over prior to 'taking in charge'. | |